1   **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
    WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
2   **IN TACOMA**

3   _____

4   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,   )
)
5   Plaintiff,   )   No. CR15-5351RBJ
)
6   vs.   )
)
7   JAY MICHAUD,   )
)
8   Defendant.   )

9   _____

10   **MOTIONS HEARING**

11 _____

12

13   **BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT J. BRYAN**
            UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

14

15

                     January 22, 2016
16

17 **APPEARANCES:**

18 **Keith Becker**
    U.S. Department of Justice Criminal Division
19 **Matthew Hampton**
    Assistant United States Attorney
20 **Representing the Plaintiff**

21

22 **Colin Fieman**
    Linda Sullivan
23 **Federal Public Defender's Office**
    Representing the Defendant

24

25

01:26:42PM 1   time we would move for the admission of those for the

01:26:44PM 2   record.

01:26:46PM 3   MR. FIEMAN: Your Honor, I have no objection. But

01:26:47PM 4   we should also move in 14, which is the same as Defense

01:26:52PM 5   Exhibit A15 and A16. I would move for the admission of

01:26:56PM 6   all of those --

01:26:56PM 7   THE COURT: What numbers now? A15 and A16?

01:27:03PM 8   MR. FIEMAN: Yes, your Honor.

01:27:04PM 9   THE COURT: Do you have any objection to those?

01:27:05PM 10   MR. BECKER: No, your Honor.

01:27:07PM 11   THE COURT: All of those exhibits may be admitted.

01:27:13PM 12   (Exhibit Nos. A15 & A16 were admitted.)

01:27:13PM 13   MR. BECKER: One other issue, your Honor.

01:27:21PM 14   Exhibits 1 through 5 are all documents that are currently

01:27:24PM 15   under seal. We haven't had an opportunity to conference

01:27:26PM 16   with the defense in order to work out those issues, which

01:27:29PM 17   we will.

01:27:29PM 18   THE COURT: They should remain under seal until we

01:27:31PM 19   resolve that issue.

01:27:33PM 20   MR. BECKER: That would be our request. We will

01:27:34PM 21   confer on that issue.

01:27:41PM 22   MR. FIEMAN: Your Honor, if the government is

01:27:43PM 23   complete, we would call Dr. Chris Soghoian.

24   CHRIS SOGHOIAN

01:28:11PM 25   Having been sworn under oath, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Fieman:

01:28:11PM 1

01:28:13PM 2

01:28:14PM 3   Q.   Dr. Soghoian, please spell your name for the record.

01:28:16PM 4   A.   Sure. My name is Christopher Soghoian. That is

01:28:20PM 5   C-H-R-I-S-T-O-P-H-E-R, Soghoian, S-O-G-H-O-I-A-N.

01:28:28PM 6   Q.   And where do you work?

01:28:30PM 7   A.   I am the principal technologist for the Speech

01:28:34PM 8   Privacy and Technology Project at the American Civil

01:28:38PM 9   Liberties Union. Although I should clarify, I am actually

01:28:40PM 10 volunteering here in my personal capacity.

01:28:43PM 11 Q.   Correct. We retained you as a technology expert in

01:28:47PM 12 this case some time ago, correct?

01:28:48PM 13 A.   That's correct.

01:28:48PM 14 Q.   And are you being paid for your assistance?

01:28:51PM 15 A.   I am being reimbursed for my flights, and my hotel,

01:28:54PM 16 and a per diem for food, but that's it.

01:28:56PM 17 Q.   What is your training and qualifications?

01:28:58PM 18 A.   I have a bachelor's degree in computer science from

01:29:02PM 19 James Madison University. I have a master's degree in

01:29:06PM 20 computer security from Johns Hopkins University. I have a

01:29:10PM 21 Ph.D. in informatics, which is like a mix of computer

01:29:14PM 22 science and law, from Indiana University. And I

01:29:17PM 23 specialized there in studying the role that the telephone

01:29:22PM 24 companies play in enabling government surveillance.

01:29:24PM 25 Q.   And have you testified in other court proceedings?

01:29:27PM 1	**A. This is my first appearance in court, but I have**
01:29:31PM 2	**acted as a defense expert for the public defender in**
01:29:34PM 3	**Spokane, Washington. I have also -- I also have quite a**
01:29:38PM 4	**bit of experience in training judges and explaining things**
01:29:41PM 5	**to judges. I appeared at an event organized by the**
01:29:45PM 6	**Federal Judicial Center in Washington, D.C. last year,**
01:29:48PM 7	**explaining surveillance technology to judges. I also**
01:29:51PM 8	**spoke to 60 Article III judges last year at an event**
01:29:56PM 9	**organized by Georgetown Law School.**
01:29:59PM 10	**Q. Slow down a little bit so the court reporter can get**
01:30:02PM 11	**everything. You have also testified before the advisory**
01:30:05PM 12	**committee on the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure?**
01:30:07PM 13	**A. I have, yes, sir.**
01:30:09PM 14	**Q. And when did you do that?**
01:30:10PM 15	**A. I think that was in the fall of 2014.**
01:30:14PM 16	**Q. And have you ever had your publications or scholarly**
01:30:17PM 17	**work cited by a court?**
01:30:19PM 18	**A. Yes. My research and scholarship has been cited by**
01:30:24PM 19	**several federal courts, including the dissent by the Chief**
01:30:28PM 20	**Judge of the Ninth Circuit, Alex Kozinski. My research**
01:30:32PM 21	**has also been cited by the state supreme court of**
01:30:35PM 22	**New Jersey and the state supreme court of Massachusetts.**
01:30:37PM 23	**Q. Now, as a consultant in this case, have you reviewed**
01:30:41PM 24	**the discovery and materials that relate to Mr. Michaud's**
01:30:46PM 25	**case?**

01:30:46PM 1   **A.   I have reviewed all documents you have sent to me,**

01:30:49PM 2   **yes.**

01:30:49PM 3   **Q.   Did that, for example, include the NIT warrant**

01:30:52PM 4   **application?**

01:30:53PM 5   **A.   I have reviewed the NIT warrant application, yes.**

01:30:56PM 6   **Q.   Let me just cut to the chase.   Would you please**

01:30:58PM 7   **explain to the judge what an NIT is and how it works?**

01:31:01PM 8   **A.   Sure.**

01:31:02PM 9   **MR. BECKER: Objection, your Honor.**

01:31:03PM 10   **THE COURT: Wait a minute.   I didn't get the**

01:31:05PM 11   **question.**

01:31:06PM 12   **MR. FIEMAN: I asked him to explain to the court**

01:31:07PM 13   **what an NIT is and how does it work.**

01:31:12PM 14   **MR. BECKER: I would object to the foundation and**

01:31:15PM 15   **speculation, your Honor.   If this isn't based on any**

01:31:17PM 16   **analysis of a network investigative technique in this**

01:31:20PM 17   **case, i.e., the NIT in this case --**

01:31:23PM 18   **THE COURT: A little more foundation is**

01:31:24PM 19   **appropriate.**

01:31:25PM 20   **By Mr. Fieman:**

01:31:25PM 21   **Q.   Dr. Soghoian, in the course of reviewing the**

01:31:29PM 22   **discovery, have you, for example, reviewed all of the**

01:31:33PM 23   **government's descriptions of the NIT that was deployed in**

01:31:38PM 24   **this case?**

01:31:39PM 25   **A.   I have read the description of the NIT in this**

01:31:42PM 1 warrant, and I have also read the description of the NIT

01:31:44PM 2 in every public NIT application that is available -- that

01:31:49PM 3 has become available over the last five or six years.

01:31:52PM 4 Q.   When you talk about NIT, that is a kind of term of

01:31:57PM 5 art.   It refers in the technology world to a specific type

01:32:01PM 6 of code or technique; is that correct?

01:32:02PM 7 A.   The government describes this technology as a NIT.

01:32:06PM 8 In the computer security community, which I am part of,

01:32:09PM 9 this is generally described as malware or malicious

01:32:13PM 10 software.

01:32:13PM 11 Q.   Can you explain what those are and why you describe

01:32:18PM 12 it as malware?

01:32:20PM 13   MR. BECKER: Objection, again, to the relevance of

01:32:23PM 14 the characterization, your Honor.   We are not talking

01:32:25PM 15 about review of anything that actually happened in this

01:32:27PM 16 case, the NIT in this case.   We are talking now based on

01:32:31PM 17 the witness' opinion and characterizations of how things

01:32:35PM 18 can be labeled.   I don't see how this has any weight or

01:32:39PM 19 pertinence to the issues the court has to decide here.   If

01:32:41PM 20 the witness has examined something that was used in this

01:32:44PM 21 case, as opposed to reading the documents, I might not

01:32:48PM 22 object.

01:32:48PM 23   THE COURT: I take this to be preliminary.

01:32:51PM 24 Obviously, it needs to be tied up with the evidence in

01:32:55PM 25 this case.

By Mr. Fieman:

Q.   Let's use the word NIT. Does NIT have a meaning in the technology and cybersecurity world?

A.   I have been studying the government's use of what we now know to be NITs for several years. We did not know they called them NITs until we found one of the warrant applications a couple of years ago. But this general category of technology --

Let me pause and say the FBI is not the only government agency in the world that seeks to use investigative techniques of this kind. There are many governments around the world that use techniques like this, and there are many companies that create special-purpose technology like this for these governments. These companies advertise these products, they advertise their features, they describe it in quite extensive detail.

And so I have been researching this general category of technology for a number of years, and I can describe, again, in general terms, how it works. There are --
Within the class of what the government calls NITs, there might be different kinds of NITs. Some NITs might do a very small subset of things, some might do more things. But I can tell you generally how these things work.

The reason that people in the computer security

01:34:12PM 1 community describe this as malware is that -- Computers

01:34:15PM 2 are built with cybersecurity protections within them.

01:34:18PM 3 When you are browsing around on the internet, and you

01:34:21PM 4 visit a website, under normal circumstances that website

01:34:24PM 5 is only allowed to get your computer to do certain things.

01:34:29PM 6 Malicious software, known as malware, tries to get your

01:34:32PM 7 computer to do things that it would not ordinarily do.

01:34:36PM 8   And in the case of this Tor software that we are

01:34:40PM 9 discussing here in this case -- I have been

01:34:44PM 10 researching -- I know the people who are behind the Tor

01:34:46PM 11 Project. They are academics. They go to the same

01:34:49PM 12 conferences -- the same academic conferences that I do.

01:34:53PM 13 This is a ten-year-old project that has received millions

01:34:55PM 14 of dollars of research funds to build a very secure piece

01:34:59PM 15 of software that has one primary purpose, which is to hide

01:35:02PM 16 the identity of people using it.

01:35:05PM 17 Q. Let's slow down. Now you are talking about the Tor

18 network, in general, correct?

19 A. Yes.

01:35:09PM 20 Q. Let's stop there. So you have been studying NITs for

01:35:13PM 21 a considerable period of time, you have done research on

01:35:16PM 22 it, and you have also reviewed all of the discovery in

01:35:18PM 23 this case, correct?

01:35:19PM 24 A. That's correct.

01:35:19PM 25 Q. Now, you have also seen the various pleadings that

01:35:22PM 1   the government has filed where they describe the NIT as

01:35:27PM 2   seizing information from Mr. Michaud's computer?

01:35:29PM 3   A.   I have read that, yes, sir.

01:35:30PM 4   Q.   Can you just describe for the judge the process of

01:35:34PM 5   how a NIT goes about doing that, in general layman's

01:35:38PM 6   terms, without getting into any technical features, just

01:35:43PM 7   in a bread-and-butter way how does that work?

01:35:45PM 8   MR. BECKER: Objection, your Honor.   I would renew

01:35:48PM 9   my objection, your Honor.   This is a lay witness'

01:35:51PM 10   interpretation of the words and warrants in discovery.   It

01:35:55PM 11   is not based on any actual analysis of anything in this

01:35:58PM 12   case.   This is testimony that is of no value to this court

01:36:00PM 13   in determining any of the issues here.   We have made

01:36:03PM 14   disclosure of certain technical information about the

01:36:06PM 15   network investigative technique.   If that's what the

01:36:10PM 16   witness has reviewed, then fine.   But right now we are

01:36:13PM 17   just talking about looking at the legal documents.   This

01:36:17PM 18   witness' opinion about what legal terms mean -- or what

01:36:20PM 19   terms in legal documents mean, again, I think this is

01:36:24PM 20   irrelevant information that does nothing in order to

01:36:26PM 21   illuminate any of the issues before the court.

01:36:28PM 22   THE COURT: I think your objection goes to the

01:36:31PM 23   weight to be attached.   Go ahead.

01:36:35PM 24   By Mr. Fieman:

01:36:35PM 25   Q.   Let's take up that objection for a moment.   Have you

01:36:37PM 1   consulted with another expert retained by the defense

01:36:40PM 2   called Vlad Cirkovic?

01:36:44PM 3   **A.**   I have spoken to Vlad.

01:36:46PM 4   **Q.**   You are aware that we had actually requested from the

01:36:48PM 5   government the entire NIT code, so you could do exactly

01:36:52PM 6   the type of analysis that Mr. Becker says you have not

01:36:55PM 7   done?

01:36:56PM 8   **A.**   It is true that if we had the complete code, that we

01:36:59PM 9   would know a lot more than we know right now.

01:37:01PM 10   **Q.**   But based upon your consultations with Mr. Cirkovic

01:37:07PM 11   as to the limited code that has been turned over by the

01:37:09PM 12   government, and your extensive ten years of research into

01:37:12PM 13   NITs and technology, have you formed an educated opinion

01:37:16PM 14   about how both NITs in general and this NIT worked?

01:37:20PM 15   **A.**   I think I have a pretty good idea of how NITs work,

01:37:24PM 16   in general. And then in both by reading the report that

01:37:26PM 17   Vlad has prepared, and talking and exchanging emails with

01:37:29PM 18   him, I think I have a good idea of what happened here.

01:37:33PM 19   **Q.**   Can you just describe that to the judge, to the best

01:37:35PM 20   of your knowledge?

01:37:35PM 21   **A.**   As I was sort of explaining before, computers are

01:37:40PM 22   programmed to have a certain basic level of cybersecurity.

01:37:45PM 23   They only will allow websites to instruct them to do a

01:37:48PM 24   limited subset of things. The NIT in this case targeted

01:37:52PM 25   people who were using the Tor browser, and so it is

01:37:55PM 1   necessary just for this moment to say that the Tor browser

01:37:59PM 2   is programmed to protect even more information than your

01:38:02PM 3   normal web browser would protect.

01:38:05PM 4   Q.   Let's just stop there. So if you have a Tor browser,

01:38:08PM 5   and you are working on the Tor network, it is like you

01:38:10PM 6   have added firewalls or security provisions in your

01:38:14PM 7   computer to protect your privacy; is that correct?

01:38:16PM 8   A.   Yes. And not only do you have these additional

01:38:19PM 9   protections, but in fact they slow down your experience.

01:38:22PM 10   So people who are using Tor are experiencing a less rich,

01:38:26PM 11   less fast internet, in exchange for these additional

01:38:30PM 12   protections, which protect their privacy, both information

01:38:33PM 13   about where they are going and information about -- and

01:38:37PM 14   also protecting information about the websites themselves.

01:38:40PM 15   Q.   And those protections are on the user's computer; in

01:38:45PM 16   this case it would be Mr. Michaud's computer, correct?

01:38:47PM 17   A.   Yes. There is a special web browser that runs within

01:38:51PM 18   the Tor software, and it has been specially configured to

01:38:54PM 19   protect itself from things that websites might try and do

01:38:58PM 20   to force it to reveal identifying information, like an IP

01:39:02PM 21   address.

01:39:02PM 22   Q.   When you say "force it to reveal," what is that

01:39:06PM 23   process?

01:39:07PM 24   A.   So the Tor software has sort of two separate privacy

01:39:14PM 25   protecting components. The first is the Tor network

01:39:18PM 1 itself. There is a diagram in the book that the

01:39:22PM 2 prosecution provided that sort of shows how things go

01:39:25PM 3 through the Tor network. But, generally, instead of your

01:39:29PM 4 computer contacting the website that you are visiting,

01:39:31PM 5 with Tor your computer bounces the connection through a

01:39:34PM 6 bunch of servers along the way.

01:39:36PM 7   And the purpose of that is to hide the trail. So

01:39:38PM 8 instead of passing a note directly to the judge, I would

01:39:41PM 9 instead pass a note to the lawyer over there, and then the

01:39:45PM 10 lawyer over there would pass the note to someone else in

01:39:46PM 11 the back, and then eventually it would reach you. It gets

01:39:49PM 12 there in the end, but it might take a bit more time to get

01:39:52PM 13 there because of all these people passing it along. That

01:39:54PM 14 is one of the privacy preserving features in Tor, which is

01:39:58PM 15 that it hides the trail through the use of these servers.

01:40:02PM 16   Secondly, the Tor browser -- It is a web browser --

01:40:06PM 17 It is actually a variant of Firefox, which is a very

01:40:08PM 18 popular piece of web browsing software that has been --

         19 Q. Slow it down a little.

01:40:13PM 20 A. Sorry. So there is a special customized version of

01:40:17PM 21 the Firefox web browser that has been modified to be even

01:40:22PM 22 more secure.

01:40:23PM 23   Essentially there are tradeoffs on the internet.

01:40:26PM 24 There are some features that make websites more

01:40:29PM 25 interactive, that allow you to have rich media, video,

01:40:32PM 1   sound, an immersive experience. But those futures can

01:40:36PM 2   also be exploited by malicious parties to learn private

01:40:41PM 3   information about you.

01:40:42PM 4   Q.   When you say "malicious parties," you don't mean

01:40:45PM 5   their intentions, but you are talking in code sense in

01:40:48PM 6   terms of they are trying to get your computer to do things

01:40:50PM 7   that you would not otherwise do?

01:40:52PM 8   A.   I'm sorry. "Malicious" is a term of art in the

01:40:58PM 9   computer security community. When we say "malicious," we

01:41:01PM 10   mean someone that is trying to do something without the

01:41:02PM 11   knowledge or consent of the computer of the person that it

01:41:05PM 12   is being done to.

01:41:07PM 13   And so the Tor browser has been specially modified to

01:41:10PM 14   turn off many features that regular web browsers have

01:41:15PM 15   enabled. And by turning these features off, it reduces

01:41:19PM 16   the number of ways that a website might try and learn

01:41:22PM 17   private information about the person using the Tor

01:41:24PM 18   software.

01:41:25PM 19   Q.   When you say it is private, it is information that

01:41:27PM 20   the person, the user, at their computer, is not otherwise

01:41:30PM 21   transmitting or wanting to make public; is that correct?

01:41:33PM 22   A.   Well, regular people don't transmit this information

01:41:37PM 23   anyway. This is stuff that is being transmitted by your

01:41:41PM 24   computer without your knowledge or consent to begin with.

01:41:44PM 25   The Tor browser transmits less information to websites

01:41:47PM 1 than a normal website -- than a normal web browser

01:41:51PM 2 transmits.

01:41:52PM 3   And then in addition to that, the Tor browser will

01:41:54PM 4 refuse requests by websites to reveal information that a

01:41:58PM 5 normal web browser would otherwise reveal.

01:42:01PM 6 Q.   So that is background.   Now, based on your review of

01:42:04PM 7 the discovery, your consultation, Agent Alfin's testimony

01:42:07PM 8 today about the NIT and how it worked, can you just

01:42:10PM 9 explain to the judge -- And really what we want to

01:42:13PM 10 clarify is the locations at which various things happened.

01:42:18PM 11 Can you do that step-by-step from where the NIT is first

01:42:22PM 12 programmed through the capture of data?

01:42:25PM 13 A.   I will do the best that I can.

01:42:27PM 14 Q.   And go slowly.

01:42:28PM 15 A.   Remember, there is one big piece that we don't know

01:42:31PM 16 the answer to, where we don't have some of the code that

01:42:34PM 17 the government hasn't turned over.   With the pieces that

01:42:36PM 18 we do have, when someone browses to a website using the

01:42:42PM 19 Tor browser, their computer requests a page.   So if you

01:42:47PM 20 are using the Tor browser, your computer asks a website,

01:42:50PM 21 "Please give me this page."   That website will then make

01:42:54PM 22 it available and your browser will then go and take it and

01:42:58PM 23 bring it back to your computer.

01:43:01PM 24   In some cases that web page will contain text, and so

01:43:05PM 25 the text will be displayed.   In some cases there will be

01:43:08PM 1 images, and the images will be displayed. In some cases

01:43:11PM 2 there is computer programming contained within that

01:43:14PM 3 website, and it will cause your computer to do some action

01:43:17PM 4 before additional text might be displayed.

01:43:20PM 5 Q.   When Agent Alfin testified about the NIT running in

01:43:25PM 6 the background, can you just clarify what that means in

01:43:29PM 7 terms of what is being received on the computer in

01:43:32PM 8 Washington?

01:43:33PM 9 A.   Sure. From what we understand, from what has become

01:43:40PM 10 public, the web browser -- the Tor web browser in this

01:43:46PM 11 case would have requested information about a particular

01:43:49PM 12 page on this forum, one of these threads.

01:43:52PM 13 Q.   So the homepage of this website?

01:43:58PM 14 A.   The defendant would have logged in -- is alleged to

01:44:01PM 15 have logged into the homepage, entered a user name and

01:44:05PM 16 password. After that they would have clicked on a link to

01:44:08PM 17 one of these forums. And every time there is a click that

01:44:12PM 18 is happening -- every time someone is clicking on one of

01:44:15PM 19 these links, their browser is requesting new

01:44:18PM 20 information -- a new web page.

01:44:21PM 21   According to what the special agent said, the NIT was

01:44:24PM 22 only delivered after someone went into a thread and then

01:44:27PM 23 clicked on a specific post. So at the point that the

01:44:31PM 24 defendant is accused of clicking on that post, the website

01:44:36PM 25 would have given his Tor browser a web page. Contained

01:44:40PM 1   within that web page would have been an instruction for

01:44:43PM 2   the Tor browser -- not for the defendant, but for the Tor

01:44:47PM 3   browser.

01:44:47PM 4   Q.   Let's stop there.   When you say "contained," can you

01:44:50PM 5   see that on the web page?

01:44:52PM 6   A.   Can a human see it?

01:44:54PM 7   Q.   Would the user who is looking for, say, a picture on

01:44:58PM 8   the internet, would they see those instructions?

01:45:01PM 9   A.   No, there wouldn't have been any instructions visible

01:45:03PM 10   to a regular user.   A high-tech sophisticated person might

01:45:08PM 11   be able to figure that out, but a regular person just

01:45:11PM 12   clicking around is not going to know there has been this

01:45:14PM 13   new special code added to the web page.

01:45:17PM 14   Q.   So it is hidden code running in the background.   When

01:45:20PM 15   you say "sending instructions," it is not instructions to

01:45:22PM 16   the user, in this case allegedly Mr. Michaud, it is

01:45:26PM 17   instructions to the target computer?

01:45:28PM 18   A.   I want to pause on that word "running."   The code

01:45:31PM 19   does not run on the website.   The code always runs on your

01:45:36PM 20   web browser.   So the website tells the web browser, "Do

01:45:39PM 21   this."   The code is downloaded to the web browser, the Tor

01:45:42PM 22   browser in this case, in this case in the state of

01:45:45PM 23   Washington.   And it is only when the instructions are

01:45:47PM 24   received by the Tor browser here in the state of

01:45:50PM 25   Washington that they are run on that computer, and then do

01:45:54PM 1   whatever the NIT is supposed to do.

01:45:56PM 2   Q.   And in this case, from the testimony you have heard,

01:45:58PM 3   what exactly was the NIT supposed to do when it was

01:46:01PM 4   inserted into the Washington computer?

01:46:04PM 5   A.   Okay. So this is where it gets a little bit

01:46:08PM 6   complicated.

01:46:09PM 7   Q.   Go slowly.

01:46:10PM 8   A.   We don't know one of the important bits of

01:46:14PM 9   information. The Tor browser is not supposed to give up

01:46:18PM 10   its real IP address to anyone. That is the one reason

01:46:21PM 11   that you use Tor.

01:46:22PM 12   Q.   And that Tor browser -- That is a program that is

01:46:25PM 13   running on the Washington computer?

01:46:26PM 14   A.   On the computer of the defendant. The Tor browser

01:46:30PM 15   would have been running there. The one thing the Tor is

01:46:32PM 16   not supposed to do is give up your IP address. And if a

01:46:36PM 17   website that you are visiting with a Tor browser asks for

01:46:38PM 18   your IP address, the Tor browser will say no.

01:46:42PM 19   If you think -- I know you have said think of the Tor

01:46:45PM 20   browser like a firewall. Think of it more like a guard

01:46:48PM 21   dog, a guard dog around a house. If the guard dog is

01:46:51PM 22   trained to bark at every person who approaches the house,

01:46:55PM 23   and someone approaches and the guard dog doesn't bark,

01:46:59PM 24   well, you have to ask, what happened? Why didn't the

01:47:02PM 25   guard dog bark? So something mysterious happened in this

01:47:07PM 1   case that caused the Tor browser to even let the NIT do

01:47:10PM 2   what it wanted to do, which was to collect this

01:47:13PM 3   information that the Tor browser would never ordinarily

01:47:16PM 4   give up.

01:47:16PM 5   Q.   So we don't know exactly the process because we don't

01:47:19PM 6   have all the code. But just to clarify, the NIT is hidden

01:47:23PM 7   code that is sent to the computer in Washington, correct?

01:47:26PM 8   A.   It is hidden code that is sent to the computer in

01:47:29PM 9   Washington State that somehow causes the computer in

01:47:31PM 10   Washington state to do something that it would not

01:47:35PM 11   normally do.

01:47:35PM 12   Q.   So not only is the NIT going to Washington State, it

01:47:39PM 13   is now giving instructions or overriding instructions on

01:47:43PM 14   that Washington computer?

01:47:46PM 15   A.   Yes. If you want to use the guard dog analogy, you

01:47:49PM 16   could think of it as maybe putting a sleeping pill in the

01:47:52PM 17   dog food.

01:47:53PM 18   Q.   Now, once those override instructions are executed on

01:47:58PM 19   the Washington computer after this delivery, I guess from

01:48:02PM 20   Virginia, what is the next step in what the NIT, from all

01:48:05PM 21   of your research and review of discovery, did?

01:48:08PM 22   A.   So once the NIT had bypassed the security controls

01:48:12PM 23   within the Tor browser, it then had to collect information

01:48:16PM 24   from the computer that it wished to send back. In this

01:48:19PM 25   case it would be the IP address, which is an address that

(/ Motion to Suppress)   **Exhibit F-018**

01:48:22PM 1   links the computer to a residential internet account. It

01:48:25PM 2   would be what is called the MAC address, which is a unique

01:48:29PM 3   serial number associated with your wi-fi card, programmed

01:48:33PM 4   in the factory of the wi-fi card manufacturer. There

01:48:37PM 5   would be some other information about the operating system

01:48:39PM 6   that the special agent read out when he was on the stand,

01:48:43PM 7   the user name on the computer, which version of Windows

01:48:46PM 8   you are running, some basic information.

01:48:49PM 9   But to learn that information, before the NIT could

01:48:51PM 10   transmit that information back to the computer in

01:48:54PM 11   Virginia, it would first have to go and collect it. So if

01:48:58PM 12   you think of this as information that is in a house, well,

01:49:00PM 13   maybe one piece of it is in the bedroom, and another piece

01:49:04PM 14   is in the living room, one piece of it is in the drawer.

01:49:06PM 15   The NIT first has to go and collect the information from

01:49:09PM 16   different parts of the computer. And then once it has

01:49:13PM 17   that information, then it would transmit it back to the

01:49:16PM 18   server in Virginia.

01:49:18PM 19   Q. So if I understand the process, the NIT bypasses

01:49:24PM 20   security or overrides security features on the Washington

01:49:27PM 21   computer. First step, right? And then second, it

01:49:30PM 22   actually collects data or evidence on that computer. And

01:49:34PM 23   then the third step, after it has seized the Washington

01:49:37PM 24   data in this case, it then wraps it up in like a little

01:49:42PM 25   evidence bag and delivers it to the FBI in Virginia?

01:49:45PM 1   **A.   That sounds right. Although I'm not sure about the**

01:49:49PM 2   **evidence bag. It transmits it back to the computer in**

01:49:52PM 3   **Virginia.**

01:49:52PM 4   **Q.   And then once that data has been transmitted back, it**

01:49:57PM 5   **is stored, apparently, on an FBI server; is that correct?**

01:50:01PM 6   **A.   The special agent said that the server is under the**

01:50:06PM 7   **government's control. I am not sure how much I can say in**

01:50:10PM 8   **this room about where we think the server is or which**

01:50:13PM 9   **company we think might have been running the server.**

01:50:15PM 10   **Q.   I don't want you to --**

01:50:17PM 11   **A.   A computer in Virginia.**

01:50:20PM 12   **Q.   Is it then fair to say after this search and seizure**

01:50:24PM 13   **in Washington, then really what is going on is it is in**

01:50:26PM 14   **like an evidence room in Virginia where they keep that**

01:50:28PM 15   **evidence until they need it?**

01:50:31PM 16   **MR. BECKER: Object to leading at this point, your**

01:50:33PM 17   **Honor. I think we are just reiterating testimony.**

01:50:34PM 18   **THE COURT: That is a fair objection.**

01:50:36PM 19   **By Mr. Fieman:**

01:50:36PM 20   **Q.   Describe then what the storage in Virginia is about.**

01:50:38PM 21   **A.   Once the data has been transmitted by the NIT, I have**

01:50:43PM 22   **no idea what the government would do with it. We know**

01:50:46PM 23   **that it was transmitted to a computer in Virginia. At**

01:50:49PM 24   **that point we have no -- They haven't turned over**

01:50:51PM 25   **information about how it is stored, or who has access to**

01:50:54PM 1 it, or whether it is printed on paper or stored live in a

01:50:58PM 2 computer. We don't know how it is maintained.

01:51:01PM 3 Q.   Now, you had just briefly mentioned that there are

01:51:08PM 4 parts of the code that are missing data, and so you have

01:51:12PM 5 to be a little reserved about your opinions, correct?

01:51:14PM 6 A.   I do not know how the NIT was able to get the Tor

01:51:21PM 7 browser to do this thing that the Tor browser would never

01:51:25PM 8 normally do. The general way that one does this -- the

01:51:29PM 9 general way of describing this is to exploit security

01:51:35PM 10 flaws in software.

01:51:36PM 11   In fact, when I started testifying here I used the

01:51:39PM 12 term "malware." And in the computer security community

01:51:44PM 13 the term "malware" really describes software that is doing

01:51:48PM 14 things that the person whose computer it is running on

01:51:54PM 15 doesn't know it is doing or doesn't want it to do. In

01:51:58PM 16 many, many cases malware, to effectively function, first

01:52:01PM 17 must exploit some security flaw in the software that is

01:52:05PM 18 running on your computer, whether that is your web

01:52:07PM 19 browser, a piece of email software, or PowerPoint, or

01:52:11PM 20 Microsoft Word.

01:52:12PM 21   All of these programs that we run on our computer, the

01:52:15PM 22 engineers who write them do the best job they can, but

01:52:19PM 23 sometimes they make mistakes. There are a lot of people

01:52:21PM 24 out there that are looking to find these flaws. If you

01:52:24PM 25 can find one of these flaws, you can write special code

01:52:27PM 1 that takes advantage of the flaw, and then lets you run

01:52:30PM 2 code on a computer that the computer probably shouldn't

01:52:33PM 3 run normally, or obtain information that you wouldn't

01:52:36PM 4 normally be able to get.

01:52:37PM 5 Q.   And you say not normally be able to get. Let me ask

01:52:41PM 6 you this: Based on all your review of the discovery and

01:52:44PM 7 the testimony, if the NIT had not been delivered to the

01:52:47PM 8 Washington computer, and collected the data for the

01:52:51PM 9 Washington computer, would the website otherwise have the

01:52:56PM 10 IP address and other identifying data in the normal course

01:52:59PM 11 of events?

01:53:00PM 12 A.   No.   The Tor browser is programmed to protect those

01:53:03PM 13 pieces of information.

01:53:11PM 14   MR. FIEMAN: Your Honor, I just have one other

01:53:13PM 15 brief area and then I will be able to wrap up.

01:53:14PM 16 By Mr. Fieman:

01:53:14PM 17 Q.   From a technical standpoint, I want to ask you about

01:53:17PM 18 when the NIT was sent to Washington, how it was deployed.

01:53:20PM 19 You have reviewed the warrant application in this case --

01:53:24PM 20 the NIT warrant application?

01:53:26PM 21 A.   Yes, sir.

01:53:26PM 22 Q.   You are aware the warrant application, I think,

01:53:29PM 23 allowed for the FBI to deploy -- to send the NIT

01:53:35PM 24 anywhere at the time people logged into the homepage; is

01:53:37PM 25 that correct?

01:53:37PM 1   A.   I am aware of what the warrant authorized, as far as

01:53:41PM 2   one can be aware as a non-lawyer.

01:53:43PM 3   Q.   As of that point, the NIT could be deployed and

01:53:48PM 4   collect all this information from anywhere in the world,

01:53:50PM 5   correct?

01:53:50PM 6   A.   At the time that the NIT is delivered to the

01:53:56PM 7   computer, the government doesn't know where the computers

01:53:58PM 8   are. The computer could be in the state of Washington, it

01:54:01PM 9   could be in Utah, it could also be in France or Spain.

01:54:05PM 10   Again, the Tor network is a global network with hundreds

01:54:09PM 11   of thousands of users located around the world. There is

01:54:13PM 12   no way of knowing ahead of time where any one of those

01:54:16PM 13   users of Tor might be.

01:54:18PM 14   Q.   Now, just from a technical standpoint, if the NIT

01:54:21PM 15   could be deployed at the homepage, was there any technical

01:54:26PM 16   reason that you are aware of why the website would have to

01:54:31PM 17   retain, and publish, and distribute child pornography

01:54:37PM 18   inside the website in order to accomplish the NIT

01:54:40PM 19   deployment?

01:54:40PM 20   MR. BECKER: Objection, your Honor. You have

01:54:42PM 21   already ruled on this issue. This is not relevant to any

01:54:45PM 22   of the suppression issues here.

01:54:49PM 23   MR. FIEMAN: Your Honor, I just want to talk about

01:54:50PM 24   the point of deployment, and that the point of deployment

01:54:54PM 25   could have occurred from the homepage in all cases.

01:54:56PM 1	THE COURT: I'm not sure I understand the question
01:54:59PM 2	here.
01:55:00PM 3	By Mr. Fieman:
01:55:00PM 4	Q. Is there any reason why all of the NITs, in order to
01:55:03PM 5	collect IP addresses pursuant to this warrant, could not
01:55:06PM 6	have been deployed simply from the homepage, that you are
01:55:10PM 7	aware of?
01:55:11PM 8	A. You can deliver a NIT from any web page on that site.
01:55:17PM 9	The fact that the government chose to deliver it on a few
01:55:22PM 10	select pages after people logged in or after people had
01:55:24PM 11	clicked a few links, that seems, from a technical
01:55:26PM 12	standpoint, arbitrary. They could have even put it on the
01:55:28PM 13	homepage before people logged in or after people logged
01:55:42PM 14	in.
01:55:46PM 15	Q. Slow down. That's okay. You are an east coaster
01:55:51PM 16	like me, Dr. Soghoian. Is there any point in sort of the
01:55:58PM 17	physical process of the NIT search that you believe we
01:56:02PM 18	have not covered that the court should be aware of?
01:56:06PM 19	A. I am just thinking. For the issues that you guys
01:56:21PM 20	have been litigating today, no.
01:56:26PM 21	MR. FIEMAN: Your Honor, do you have any questions
01:56:27PM 22	that we have not addressed at this point?
01:56:29PM 23	THE COURT: No. Go ahead.
01:56:31PM 24	MR. FIEMAN: Thank you, your Honor.
01:56:35PM 25	CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Becker:

Q.   Good afternoon, Dr. Soghoian.

A.   Hi.

Q.   Would you agree that the Tor Project does not guarantee perfect anonymity to its users?

A.   My understanding is that the homepage of the Tor Project tells people that it cannot deliver perfect security.

Q.   Right from the homepage of the Tor Project it advises its users that it cannot deliver, as you said, perfect security; is that correct?

A.   What I will say, though, is that the Tor Project is about ten years old. It has received millions of dollars of grants. It is the best thing that the computer security research community has come up with thus far.

Q.   It has some great uses, is that fair to say?

A.   The Tor Project is being used by Facebook, it is being used by newspapers, ProPublica, and many newspapers that now run whistle blowing websites. As I'm sure you know, the Tor Project was originally -- the technology was created by the U.S. Navy, the Naval Research Lab, and the U.S. government has been and continues to be the biggest funder of Tor.

Q.   As we said, it can be used for many laudable, positive purposes, correct?

01:57:56PM 1 A.   That is correct. And my understanding is it is also

01:58:00PM 2 used by many law enforcement agencies so that they can

01:58:03PM 3 conduct covert investigations online.

01:58:05PM 4 Q.   Do you agree it can also be misused for illicit

01:58:09PM 5 purposes?

01:58:09PM 6 A.   That is a complicated question.

01:58:11PM 7 Q.   Is it?

01:58:12PM 8 A.   Yes. Because the original creators of Tor -- When

01:58:16PM 9 the Navy created Tor, the purpose was to allow naval

01:58:20PM 10 investigators to research people online so that they could

01:58:23PM 11 investigate whatever crimes the Navy is researching

01:58:26PM 12 without tipping off the world with the fact that the Navy

01:58:30PM 13 is researching them. Now, if you have this technology

01:58:32PM 14 that is protecting the privacy of naval investigators, and

01:58:35PM 15 the only people who are using it are naval investigators,

01:58:38PM 16 well, then you are not anonymous.

01:58:40PM 17 Q.   Are they the only people using Tor?

01:58:42PM 18 A.   No.

01:58:42PM 19 Q.   Would you agree that criminals use Tor?

01:58:45PM 20 A.   That is by design.

01:58:46PM 21 Q.   Criminals use Tor by design?

01:58:49PM 22 A.   When the Navy created Tor, and put the technology out

01:58:52PM 23 there, they knew that they would have both good and bad

01:58:55PM 24 users. If you only have one --

01:58:57PM 25 Q.   So you agree there are good --

01:58:59PM 1   MR. FIEMAN: Your Honor, if Dr. Soghoian could

01:59:01PM 2   finish his answer.

01:59:02PM 3   THE COURT: You interrupted the witness.

01:59:05PM 4   THE WITNESS: If you only have naval investigators

01:59:08PM 5   using Tor, then the moment a website receives someone

01:59:11PM 6   coming from Tor -- receives a request from someone using

01:59:15PM 7   Tor, they know that it is the U.S. government. So the

01:59:19PM 8   creators of Tor have a phrase they use, and they use it in

01:59:23PM 9   research papers and elsewhere, it is that anonymity loves

01:59:27PM 10 company. If you want to have a technology that lets

01:59:30PM 11 people blend into the crowd, you need a crowd. And so the

01:59:33PM 12 creators of Tor from day one knew that there would be uses

01:59:38PM 13 of Tor that society would love and uses of Tor that

01:59:42PM 14 society would not love as much.

01:59:44PM 15 By Mr. Becker:

01:59:46PM 16 Q.   Let's back around to my question. We agree you can

01:59:50PM 17 use Tor to mask your identity while committing crimes,

01:59:53PM 18 correct?

01:59:54PM 19 A.   You can use Tor to mask your identity when you are

01:59:58PM 20 online, and people can commit crimes online.

02:00:00PM 21 Q.   You can use Tor to mask your identity while you

02:00:03PM 22 commit crimes online through Tor?

02:00:07PM 23 A.   Tor is a communication technology. That is like

02:00:11PM 24 saying, can you use a car to commit a crime? Well, yeah,

02:00:14PM 25 I guess so. But it is a regular technology that has good

02:00:17PM 1   users and bad users. That doesn't mean the technology has

02:00:21PM 2   some kind of morality associated with it. It is like

02:00:25PM 3   FedEx, or the post office, or the telephone line, it is a

02:00:29PM 4   core communications and transportation technology.

02:00:31PM 5   Q.   Sure. And I'm sure we would agree that no matter

02:00:34PM 6   what sort of communication technology that criminals are

02:00:38PM 7   using, law enforcement needs to take action based on

02:00:41PM 8   whatever that technology is; is that fair to say?

02:00:43PM 9   A.   I think if law enforcement is concerned about people

02:00:47PM 10 using Tor -- about criminals using Tor, I think the most

02:00:51PM 11 rational approach would be to stop the U.S. government

02:00:54PM 12 from funding Tor.

02:00:55PM 13 Q.   You don't want criminals who are using Tor to be

02:00:58PM 14 investigated?

02:00:58PM 15 A.   No, I am not saying that. I am saying if you don't

02:01:01PM 16 want criminals to hide their identity using Tor, then the

02:01:05PM 17 U.S. government should stop writing the checks that are

02:01:09PM 18 paying for Tor to be developed. If you are worried about

02:01:11PM 19 the availability of a technology that lets people hide,

02:01:14PM 20 and you don't think -- you think it is being misused, why

02:01:17PM 21 are you paying for it? Just cut it off.

02:01:23PM 22 Q.   Let me ask you some questions about a different area.

02:01:26PM 23 You haven't reviewed any computers or digital evidence

02:01:28PM 24 related to this case; is that right?

02:01:29PM 25 A.   No, sir.

02:01:30PM 1   Q.   You haven't reviewed any of the computers that were

02:01:33PM 2   seized from the defendant's home?

02:01:34PM 3   A.   No, sir.

02:01:34PM 4   Q.   You haven't reviewed any computer code that has been

02:01:38PM 5   provided in discovery, correct?

02:01:39PM 6   A.   So Vlad, who is our other expert, he has reviewed

02:01:44PM 7   computer code provided to him by DOJ. I have read the

02:01:48PM 8   report that Vlad sent to me, but I have not personally

02:01:52PM 9   reviewed the NIT code.

02:01:55PM 10   MR. BECKER: Your Honor, I would make a Jencks

02:01:57PM 11   request for that report, if we don't have it.

02:01:59PM 12   MR. FIEMAN: I actually don't either, your Honor.

02:02:01PM 13   I was unaware of any written report from Mr. Cirkovic. I

02:02:12PM 14   am not sure there is one at this point. Although, there

02:02:14PM 15   has been, obviously, a lot of conversations with the

02:02:15PM 16   various experts on all sides. So I don't have a report to

02:02:21PM 17   turn over. I will make inquiries, your Honor, absolutely.

02:02:22PM 18   By Mr. Becker:

02:02:23PM 19   Q.   Dr. Soghoian, can you describe the written

02:02:25PM 20   communications you have had with the defense expert about

02:02:26PM 21   the analysis of the code?

02:02:28PM 22   A.   Sure. He sent me a few-paragraph email describing

02:02:31PM 23   his initial analysis of the shell code.

02:02:34PM 24   Q.   Did you sign a protective order before you received

02:02:37PM 25   that?

02:02:37PM 1	**A. I agreed to a protective order when I first got**
02:02:42PM 2	**retained. Whether I signed something, I don't remember.**
02:02:47PM 3	**I am pretty sure I did. The public defender definitely**
02:02:51PM 4	**sent me the protective order and asked me to agree to it.**
02:02:54PM 5	**I would have to consult my records to see if I signed**
02:02:57PM 6	**something and sent it back.**
02:02:58PM 7	**MR. BECKER: Your Honor, I would request -- The**
02:03:01PM 8	**witness has testified about a particular written**
02:03:03PM 9	**communication during the course of this proceeding. I**
02:03:06PM 10	**would request that and other communications.**
02:03:11PM 11	**MR. FIEMAN: No objection, your Honor.**
02:03:13PM 12	**THE WITNESS: Is there any way I can ask for a**
02:03:15PM 13	**glass of water? Is that possible?**
02:03:46PM 14	**By Mr. Becker:**
02:03:48PM 15	**Q. Doctor, just a basic point. In terms of**
02:03:50PM 16	**communications on Tor, it is correct that when a user**
02:03:54PM 17	**communicates through Tor, the user is still using IP**
02:03:58PM 18	**addresses in order to communicate, correct?**
02:04:02PM 19	**A. Someone doesn't use an IP address to communicate.**
02:04:05PM 20	**Q. IP addresses route communications, even through Tor?**
02:04:08PM 21	**A. No, an IP address is a number assigned to you. You**
02:04:12PM 22	**use the internet, and in particular the IP protocol, to**
02:04:16PM 23	**communicate. But you don't use your address. It is not**
02:04:19PM 24	**like -- When you write a letter to someone, you don't use**
02:04:21PM 25	**your physical address to communicate, you use the post**

02:04:24PM 1 office to communicate, and your address is printed in the

02:04:26PM 2 top left-hand corner of the letter.

02:04:28PM 3 Q.   Very well. Does Tor not use IP addresses? Would

02:04:32PM 4 that be a fair statement?

02:04:33PM 5 A.   Tor is what is called an overlay network. So there

02:04:37PM 6 is a network on top of the internet.

02:04:43PM 7 Q.   Would it be correct to say using Tor means you are

02:04:46PM 8 not using IP addresses to communicate?

02:04:48PM 9 A.   Again, as I said before, you don't use an IP address

02:04:51PM 10 to communicate. You have an IP address. You use the IP

02:04:55PM 11 protocol to communicate. I am sorry if it sounds like I

02:04:59PM 12 am lost on these details, but you don't use an IP address

02:05:05PM 13 to communicate.

02:05:06PM 14 Q.   You used and defined the term earlier that you called

02:05:12PM 15 "malicious." You defined that as someone who -- an entity

02:05:17PM 16 that was sending something or using something without

02:05:21PM 17 knowledge or consent; is that fair?

02:05:24PM 18 A.   I'm sorry. Can you ask that question again, please?

02:05:26PM 19 Q.   Sure. You were defining a term earlier as

02:05:29PM 20 "malicious." You said in your community you define that

02:05:33PM 21 as something happening without knowledge or consent?

02:05:35PM 22 A.   That is a component of malware, yes, sir.

02:05:40PM 23 Q.   Would it be possible for that communication to be

02:05:44PM 24 authorized and for you to still describe it as malicious?

02:05:49PM 25 A.   So the question is, can something be authorized and

02:05:51PM 1 still malicious?

02:05:53PM 2 Q. Yeah.

02:05:54PM 3 A. Authorized by whom?

02:05:56PM 4 Q. A court.

02:05:59PM 5 A. I think in the computer security community malware is

02:06:05PM 6 really about -- the definition of malware depends on the

02:06:08PM 7 knowledge of the user and the consent of the user.

02:06:11PM 8 Q. So you don't think the courts have the ability to --

02:06:21PM 9 MR. BECKER: I will withdraw that. No further

02:06:22PM 10 questions, your Honor.

02:06:24PM 11 MR. FIEMAN: Very briefly, your Honor.

02:06:27PM 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

02:06:30PM 13 By Mr. Fieman:

02:06:31PM 14 Q. Mr. Becker started with a very simple question. He

02:06:33PM 15 asked you whether Tor -- Tor does not promise to deliver

02:06:36PM 16 perfect security. Do you recall that?

02:06:38PM 17 A. I do recall that exchange.

02:06:39PM 18 Q. Is it also fair to say that a burglar alarm or a home

02:06:43PM 19 alarm does not deliver perfect security?

02:06:45PM 20 A. That is correct, and neither does the lock on my

02:06:48PM 21 front door.

02:06:48PM 22 Q. But the fact that it doesn't deliver perfect

02:06:51PM 23 security, does that make it okay for somebody to break the

02:06:54PM 24 lock on your front door and go in and take information

02:06:56PM 25 from your home?

02:06:57PM 1   A.   I am not sure if that is the right question for me.

02:07:01PM 2   I will say --

02:07:01PM 3   Q.   Just as a matter of common sense.

02:07:03PM 4   A.   As an individual, no, it doesn't make it okay.

02:07:08PM 5   MR. FIEMAN: Thank you. No further questions.

02:07:15PM 6   THE COURT: It sort of sounds like no one should

02:07:19PM 7   expect privacy with whatever is on their computer and on

02:07:25PM 8   the internet?

02:07:26PM 9   THE WITNESS: It is very hard for individuals to

02:07:28PM 10   protect their privacy online. It is for that reason that

02:07:35PM 11   the government has spent so much money trying to create

02:07:39PM 12   technologies that let people protect their privacy. It is

02:07:43PM 13   really hard for the average person to protect their

02:07:45PM 14   privacy online. Those of us who are trying to protect our

02:07:48PM 15   privacy, we have to work hard. Sometimes we get a slower

02:07:52PM 16   internet experience. Sometimes we have to use software

02:07:57PM 17   that is not as easy to use in order to protect our

02:08:00PM 18   privacy.

02:08:00PM 19   There is a huge amount of research that is going on in

02:08:03PM 20   this space to create tools that let the average person

02:08:06PM 21   protect themselves. I have spent much of the last few

02:08:11PM 22   years trying to help the legal community to protect their

02:08:13PM 23   privacy, trying to get law firms and the courts to employ

02:08:17PM 24   basic privacy and security technology to protect what you

02:08:21PM 25   all are doing. It is hard for the average person when

02:08:24PM 1 this stuff is so high-tech. My hope is over the next few

02:08:27PM 2 years we will get better and easier technology that will

02:08:31PM 3 protect people.

02:08:34PM 4   THE COURT: We started this -- or in the middle of

02:08:39PM 5 it, I guess, we came to the Tor instructions, or whatever,

02:08:45PM 6 that say that it does not deliver perfect security. Is

02:08:49PM 7 there any perfect security at this point, other than not

02:08:55PM 8 putting it in there?

02:08:57PM 9   THE WITNESS: In my community, and in the computer

02:09:00PM 10 security community, we use concepts like defense in depth.

02:09:03PM 11   THE COURT: What?

02:09:04PM 12   THE WITNESS: Defense in depth. So rather than

02:09:08PM 13 having one wall protecting your castle, you have ten

02:09:12PM 14 walls. That way if the barbarians get over the first

02:09:15PM 15 wall, they still have nine more they have to overcome.

02:09:18PM 16   THE COURT: That is kind of what Tor does?

02:09:21PM 17   THE WITNESS: The Tor has at least two walls.

02:09:23PM 18 Probably over the next few years they are going to add

02:09:25PM 19 some more. I was having lunch with a DHS official this

02:09:32PM 20 week -- a Department of Homeland Security official, about

02:09:34PM 21 the technology they are funding to help create even more

02:09:37PM 22 walls. When you look at some of the data breaches that

02:09:41PM 23 have happened in the last few years, the OPM breach, where

02:09:45PM 24 all these federal employees had their private information

02:09:48PM 25 lost and stolen by China, it is really hard to design

02:09:51PM	1	secure software and to protect data.
02:09:54PM	2	The old approach was let's keep the bad guys out. Now
02:09:58PM	3	the approach is, how do we stop the bad guys before they
02:10:01PM	4	get all the way to the inner room of the house, or how do
02:10:05PM	5	we limit their access to information. There is an arms
02:10:11PM	6	race going on right now between those who are trying to
02:10:13PM	7	protect data and those who are trying to exploit data.
02:10:17PM	8	This is a really interesting time. The unfortunate thing
02:10:20PM	9	is for regular people it is really hard to protect
02:10:23PM	10	yourself online.
02:10:25PM	11	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
02:10:28PM	12	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
02:10:33PM	13	THE COURT: Any other evidence to be offered here?
02:10:35PM	14	MR. FIEMAN: No other evidence, your Honor, from
02:10:37PM	15	the defense.
02:10:47PM	16	THE COURT: Let me figure here a little bit. In a
02:11:17PM	17	practical sense, you have about a half hour apiece to
02:11:20PM	18	argue this, which should be enough. When you get to the
02:11:24PM	19	U.S. Supreme Court they won't give you that much time.
02:11:29PM	20	MR. FIEMAN: Who would you like to hear from
02:11:31PM	21	first?
02:11:31PM	22	THE COURT: Well, it is your motion, or motions.
02:11:39PM	23	MR. FIEMAN: Your Honor, I think we are down to
02:11:41PM	24	essentially the core issue around which everything else
02:11:45PM	25	revolves. And it is really a brick and mortar issue. We